A Rubric for Evaluating Web Sites

Name of site:Wikipedia

URL of site: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Velociraptor

Criterion (Trait being evaluated)	Excellent because	Acceptable because	Unacceptable because
 What are the author's qualifications to write about the topic? Are the author's name and address on the site? Check the domain name of the site (the part that ends with .com or .edu). Is the site affiliated with a reputable organization? 		The content seems well written, there are no advertisements, and it ends in .org. But there is no information on the author.	
 Does the author present original material, or has everything come from another source? Does the author present material in depth? Does the author try to be objective? If the author is biased, does he/she make the position clear? Does the site seem to be misleading? Can you doublecheck the information for accuracy? Has the information been updated recently? Are links to other sources of information available? 	The material is clear, it has working links, it was updated recently, the information is not misleading, and there is a list of other sources that this website got some information from.		
• Is the site trying to sell you something? Does that	The website is not trying to sell anything and it discusses both sides of the argument.		

 affect the information being presented? Is the site a personal homepage or a professional source of information? If the site is about a controversial issue, does it present one side or both sides of the argument? 		
Design		
 How much advertising does the site contain? Has the author paid attention to spelling and other basic writing skills? Are the links current? Is it reasonably easy to find your way around the site? 	The website has good, working links, the links are current, the punctuation is accurate, and there is no advertising on the website.	